

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,233 07/07/2003		07/07/2003	Soren Ebdrup	6413.200-US	6226
23650	7590	02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
NOVO NO			HENLEY III, RAYMOND J		
PATENT D 100 COLLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETO	N, NJ 08	3540	1614		

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Refore the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/614,233	EBDRUP ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Raymond J Henley III	1614 .	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Raymond J Henley III	1614 .						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			•					
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a					
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appea has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.						
NOTE: The Examiner cannot locate that portion o			asis for claiming					
"phosphinyl, selenyl and germyl" in the positions a proposed amendment would apparently raise an is the presence of "P, Se or Ge" in the molecule and but the mere presence of "P, Se or Ge" does not e located at the specifically identified positions. Apporiginally filed support may be found for the conceptains. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	s particularly specified in proposed sue of "new matter". The Examine that this claim represents subject re quate to the concept as now propo- licants should specifically point out of of placing "P, Se or Ge" at the sp	claims 1 and 9 and to er recognizes that clai matter that was origina sed, i.e., that the "P, where in the specific pecific positions as in	hus entry of the m 5 provides for ally disclosed, Se or Ge" be ation as the proposed					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		41	-4l' 4b-					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	umely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.		l be entered and an e	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will no	t he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the issue discussed above.	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	1110=					
13. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17/1	h H					

PRIMARY EXAMINER
AU 14 2/16/05